Case 5:09-cr-01119-EJD Document 60 Filed 12/03/10 Page 1 of 3

1	GEORGE MGDESYAN, ESQ. SBN 225476		
2	MGDESYAN & TAHERIPOUR		
	15260 Ventura Blvd., Penthouse 2200 Sherman Oaks, California 91403		
3	Telephone: (818)386-6777 Facsimile: (818)754-6778		
4			
5	Attorney for Defendant, SUSAN NAHAPETIAN	N	
6			
7			
8	TINITOTIA CON LONGO	DICTRICT COLLEGE	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAL	LIFORNIA - SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11			
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	CASE NO. CR 09-01119 JW	
13	Plaintiffs,) vs.)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
14		ORDER TO CONTINUE SETTING OR DISPOSITIONAL HEARING OF	
15	SUSAN NAHAPETIAN	DECEMBER 6, 2010 THROUGH DECEMBER 20, 2010 FROM THE	
16	Defendants.	SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION	
17		(18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A),(B)	
18)	Current Dispositional Date: 12/06/2010 Proposed Dispositional Date: 12/20/2010	
19	A dignositional bearing is as in the contract of the contract		
	The parties are seeking		
- 1	and the second of the second o		
	2010, in order to allow defense counsel the opportunity to complete his review of the materials		
22	made available to him in the discovery process, and to reach a pre-trial resolution with the		
23	government of the pending criminal matter. The parties have agreed to stipulate to an exclusion		
24	of time under the Speedy Trial Act to allow counsel for defendant reasonable time for effective		
25	preparation.		
26	The Defendant hereby submits this written request for an order finding that said time		
27			
28	1	TING OR DISPOSITIONAL HEARING	
		DIMMAII GRADIO OU LOUAND INDAMING	

Case 5:09-cr-01119-EJD Document 60 Filed 12/03/10 Page 2 of 3

1	taking such action and outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in a speedy		
2	trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Further, the failure to grant such a continuance would		
3	unreasonably deny counsel for defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation,		
4	taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
5			
6			
7	DATED: December 1, 2010 MGDESYAN & TAHERIPOUR		
8			
9	By:		
10	George Mgdesyan, Esq., Attorney for Defendant,		
11	SUSANWAHAPETIAN		
12			
13	DATED: December 1, 2010 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY		
14			
15	Bu En Lellis		
16	Бу		
17	Eumi L. Choi, Esq., Attorney for Plaintiff,		
18	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
19			
20			
21			
22	\cdot		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

ORDER Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time between December 6, 2010 through December 20, 2010 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The Court finds that the ends of justice are served by taking such action and outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A). Further, the failure to grant such a continuance would unreasonably deny counsel for defendant the reasonable ime necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv). IT IS ORDERED. This is the parties' final continuance. DATED: December 3, 2010 STRICT COURT JUDGE